

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/316,938		05/21/1999	MICHAEL THORSEN	1685	8498	
21834	7590	07/02/2004		EXAMINER		
BECK ANI			RIMELL, SAMUEL G			
2900 THOMAS AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	N 55419	2175			
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

R

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/316,938	THORSEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	_	Sam Rimell	2175				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appor Preply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19-23 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f)				
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	Friend and or or or or or or or	, (4) 5. (1).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed. EAUW				
			SAM RIMELL				
Attachman	BEST	AVAILABLE COPY	PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachmen 1) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr		o) □ Oiler					

<u>Preliminary Note:</u> Applicant's submission of Request for Continued Examination dated May 10, 2004 is hereby acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,012,035) in view of Tarter et al. (U.S. Patent 5,550,734).

Claim 19: Freeman Jr. et al. discloses a system in which multiple providers (physicians) provide medical services to multiple patients. The providers report the services they rendered to a first entity, which is the insurance company of Freeman, Jr. et al. (col. 2, line 14; col 2, lines 41-43).

Freeman Jr. et al. differs from the claims in that it does not disclose the first entity (insurance company) reporting to a sponsor. However, Tarter et al. at col. 4, lines 36-44 describes a sponsor in the form of an employer (a commercial bank) which sponsors an insurance plan for its employees, establishes the insurance policy and pays the premiums for the policy. The entity would thus report to the sponsor on a periodic basis indicating the aggregate amount owed by the sponsor (premiums due) for the services rendered by the entity (insurance coverage). The insurance plan is "self funded by the sponsor" in the sense that the sponsor pays the funds for the premiums due. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Freeman Jr. et al. to include an employer a s a sponsor of the described insurance plan as an efficient design for group health insurance coverage as taught by Tarter et al.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

The entity (insurance company) reports to the patient on a periodic basis of the amount owed by the patient for provider services not covered by the insurance (col. 8, lines 30-35 of Freeman Jr. et al.).

The entity (insurance company) collects payments from the sponsor (employer) in the form of insurance premiums.

The entity (insurance company) pays the provider for the services rendered regardless of any payments by the patient to the entity. This is because the entity is an insurance company making insurance payments on the basis of an insurance contract established and paid for by an employer.

Additional payments my be collected from the patient for the patient's share of uncovered claims (col. 8, lines 33-36 of Freeman Jr. et al.).

<u>Claim 20:</u> The entity collects a lump sum payment from the sponsor in the form of insurance premiums.

Claim 21: See remarks for claim 19.

<u>Claim 22:</u> The "explanation of benefits" sent to the patient and described at col. 8, line 32 of Freeman et al. reads as the "plain language description of services rendered".

Claim 23: See remarks for claim 19. The "administrator" is equivalent to the "entity" of claim 19 and reads as the insurance company of Freeman, Jr. et al. The combination of Freeman Jr. et al. and Tartar et al. teach that the statements are submitted to the patient by the "administrator" or "entity" and not from the sponsor (the employer) or the health care provider.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Art Unit: 2175

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2175